Shelf Life of Technical Grade Pesticide Formulations

♦252. SHRIMATI KRISHNA KAUL :
SHRI ROSHAN LAL :

Will the Minister of AGRICULTURE be pleased to state :

(a) whether it is a fact that the shelf life of technical grade pesticides or its different formulations are approved by the expert panel appointed by the Registration Committee on the basis of the data submitted by manufacturers/formulators;

(b) whether the shelf lifeof Dithane M-45 manufa the Shelf Life Expert p

(c) whether it is a fact that for increasing the approved shelf life to a further period the manufacturer/ formulator is required to submit data to the Registration Committee for their approval and that M/s. Indofil never submitted any data to the Central Insecticides Board and neither the data given by them has been discussed by the Registration Committee; and

(d) if the answers to parts (a) (b) and (c) above are in the affirmative, what action Government propose to take against Indofil for marketing Dithane M-45with 2 years shelf life ?

THE • MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AND COOPER ATION (SHRI YOGENDRA MAKWANA) : (a) Shelf-life of pesticides and their formulations are recommended by an Expert Panel consitituted for the purpose by the Central Insecticides Board, on the basis of the data available with them and with Indian Standard Institute, the various Pesticides Testing Laboratories, Pesticides manufacturers and their Associations and the available literature on the subject.

(b) Yes, Sir,

(c) It is a fact that as per the recommendations made by the Expert Panel on Shelf Life, the manufacturer Formulator can seek approval for increasing the approved shelf-life for a product. The data generated for the purpose is considered by the Registration Committee before grant ing such an approval.

M/s. Indofil were granted regis- . tration in 1975 much before the recommendations of Expert Panel on Shelf Life became available. The shelf-life claimed by M/s. Indofil for the product, then was 2 years. However on careful consideration of the data, provisional approval was given for one year, in 1976.

(d) The' action under the Insec ticides Act, 1968, can be taken by the enforcement agencies. It has been reported by the Uttar Pradesh Government that action has been initiated against the firm for making; unauthorised claim with respect to its shelf life.

Collaboration between IIS CO and a Japanese Firm

♦253. SHRI SUKOMAL SEN : Will the Minister of STEEL AND MINES be pleased to state :

(a) whether Government have entered into a collaboration agreement involving the IISCO and a Japanese firm; and

(b) if so, what are the details thereof ?

THE MINISTER OF STEEL AND MINES (SHRI K.C. PANT) :

(a) and (b) an agreement has been signed between JICA (Japan International Cooperation Agency) and the Government of India under which JICA will prepare a feasibility report for the modernisation of Burnpur Steel Works of IISCO. This feasibility report is expected to be submitted by TICA in April, 1987.

Promotion of engineers in CPWD

*25₄. SHRI VIRENDRA VERMA : Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state :

(a) whether it is a fact that the period of eligibility for promotion of an Assistant Executive Engineer to Executive Engineer was increased to five years whereas in the case of promotion of an Assistant Engineer to Executive Engineer the period of eligibility was kept as eight years;

(b) if so, what is the latest position with regard to the promotion of Assistant Executive Engineers and Assistant Engineers in the Central Public Works Department as Executive Engineers stating the number of persons who became eligible for promotion as Executive Engineer at the end of April, 1986 after the completion of five years as Assistant Executive Engineer and those who have completed eight years as Assistant Engineers; and

(c) what is the number of persons awaiting their promotions in the above grades after completing ten years, fifteen years and twenty years of service respectively ?

TH[illegible] MINISTER OF URBAN DEVE[illegible]OPMENT (SHRI ABDUL GHA[illegible]OR) : (a) The period of eligibi[illegible]y for promotion of Assistant Execu[illegible]ve Engineer is 5 years. It was, howev[illegible], reduced to 4 years during the p[illegible]iod from 1-4-70 to 30-6-80. The p[illegible]iod of eligibility for promotion o[illegible] Assistant Engineers is 8 years for D[illegible]ree holders and 10 years for Diplo[illegible]a Holders.

(b) [illegible]Four Assistant Executive Engineers [illegible]ve completed five years service. Three [illegible]of them are not eligible for promo[illegible]on as they are yet to complete their [illegible]obation. The case of promotion o[illegible]he remaining Asstt. Executive Engin[illegible]r is under consideration. No p[illegible]t of Executive Engineer is availa[illegible]e for promotion from the quota [illegible]f Assistant Engineers. In fact, a larg[illegible] number of Assistant Engineers are a[illegible]eady working as Executive Engin[illegible]r on *ad-hoc* basis in excess of the [illegible] quota. Due to non-availability o[illegible]Assistant Executive Engineers, a pro[illegible]sal to fill up 34 vacancies of Execu[illegible]ve Engineers (Civil) and 20 Execu[illegible]ve Engineers (Electrical) by *ad-hoc* [illegible]promotion of Assistant Engineers [illegible] under consideration.

(c) [illegible]The number of Assistant Engin[illegible]rs who have completed 10 years [illegible]rvice or more at the end of

	Assistant Engineer (Civil)	Assistant Engineer (Electrical)
(1) No. of officers with 10 years but less than 15 years [illegible]vice .	316	99
(2) No. of officers with 15 years but less than 20 years [illegible]vice .	82	66
(3) No. of officers with 20 years service or more .	100	1

Paradip Phosphate Fertiliser Plant

♦255. SHRI M.S. GURUPADASWAMY : Will the Minister of AGRICULTURE be pleased to state :

(a) whether it is a fact that the Paradip Phosphate Fertiliser Plant has been engaging contract labour ;

(b) [illegible]whether they are engaged by the [illegible]ant management directly or throu[illegible]h the contractors;

(c) [illegible]whether due to violation of the p[illegible]visions of the Contract Labour (Reg[illegible]ation and Abolition) Act, there [illegible]as been a stoppage of work; and

(d) if so, what steps have been taken to solve the disputes ?